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## CASE PRESENTATION

- 39 y/o male admitted after experiencing fevers, chills, and hypotension
- Tachycardia also noted on examination
- Three sets of blood cultures were obtained on admission
- The blood cultures started signaling positive 13 hours after collection


## CASE OUTCOME

## Hospital A

## Hospital B

- Hospital LOS 11.9 days
- ICU LOS 7.3 days
- Pharmacy costs $\$ 3,371$
- Hospital costs \$45,000
- Hospital LOS 9.3 days
- ICU LOS 6.3 days
- Pharmacy costs $\$ 2,386$
- Hospital Costs \$26,000


## What makes the difference?
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## Rapid direct identification of positive blood cultures and Antimicrobial Stewardship!

## CASE OUTCOME

## Hospital A

- Hospital LOS 11.9 days
- ICU LOS 7.3 days
- Pharmacy costs $\$ 3,371$
- Hospital costs \$45,000

Hospital B
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- ICU LOS 6.3 days
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- Hospital Costs \$26,000


## Objectives

- Demonstrate how rapid microbiology diagnostics impacts patient outcome
- Demonstrate some of the systems available for rapid identification of positive blood cultures
- Illustrate the importance of taking action on a rapid ID result in a timely fashion


## Hospital A - BC Time Line

13 hr incubation
Bottle positive


- Hospital LOS 11.9 days
- ICU LOS 7.3 days
$13 \mathrm{hr}+1$ hour

1. Gram stain/Reported to floor
2. Subcuture bottle


## Hospital A

- Pharmacy costs \$3,371
- Hospital costs \$45,000
$13 \mathrm{hr}+1 \mathrm{hr}+14 \mathrm{hr}$ Colonies growth on Agar plate

1. Setup ID + Susceptibility Test
2. Perform Presumptive ID
$13 \mathrm{hr}+1 \mathrm{hr}+$ $14 \mathrm{hr}+20 \mathrm{hr}$ Report ID and Susceptibility

## ProHealth Care

## Hospital A - BC Time Line

13 hr incubation
Hospital A
Bottle positive
$13 \mathrm{hr}+1$ hour

1. Gram stain/Reported to floor
2. Subcuture bottle


Klebsiella pneumoniae
$\mathrm{CFZ}=\mathrm{R}$
$\mathrm{CRO}=\mathrm{R}$
$\mathrm{CAZ}=\mathrm{R}$
$C P E=R$
$G M=S$
$M E R=S$
$13 \mathrm{hr}+1 \mathrm{hr}+14 \mathrm{hr}$ Colonies growth on Agar plate

1. Setup ID + Susceptibility Test
2. Perform Presumptive ID
$13 \mathrm{hr}+1 \mathrm{hr}+$ $14 \mathrm{hr}+20 \mathrm{hr}$ Report ID and Susceptibility
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## Hospital B - BC Time Line

13 hr incubation
Bottle positive

## Hospital B



- Hospital LOS 9.3 days
- ICU LOS 6.3 days
$13 \mathrm{hr}+3$ hour

1. Gram stain/Reported

- Pharmacy costs \$2,386

2. Definitive ID done/Reported to floor + Pharmacy
3. Select Resistance markers/Reported

## Hospital B - BC Time Line

13 hr incubation
Bottle positive

$13 \mathrm{hr}+3$ hour

1. Gram stain/Reported
13 hr + 3 hour
2. Gram stain/Reported
3. Definitive ID done/Reported to floor + Pharmacy
4. Select Resistance markers/Reported

## 16 hours <br> Klebsiella pneumoniae CTX-M detected

## ProHealth Care

## CASE OUTCOME

## Hospital A

- Hospital LOS 11.9 days
- ICU LOS 7.3 days
- Pharmacy costs $\$ 3,371$
- Hospital costs \$45,000


## 48 hours 16 hours

Perez, et. al. 2012. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 10.5858/arpa.2012-0651OA

## ProHealth Care

## Why is the timely selection of antibiotics important?

Appropriateness of Rx within 12 Hrs of BC+ and Mortality
(Severe Sepsis)
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## Why is the timely selection of antibiotics important?




Antimicrobial Stewardship in the Intensive Care Unit Advances and Obstacles

## ProHealth Care

## HOW CAN WE DO THIS?

## Rapid Diagnostics



Antimicrobial Stewardship in the Intensive Care Unit Advances and Obstacles

## Recent Advances in Rapid ID of Positive Blood Culture

## MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry

Nanosphere BC-GP
AdvanDx QuickFISH Gram-Negative BC
Cepheid Xpert MRSA/SA BC
Biofire FilmArray BC Identification Panel

## BD GeneOhm StaphSR

FDA cleared/approved

## AdvanDx

## Gram-Negative QuickFISH ${ }^{\text {M }}$ BC

- Rapid Identification of E. coli, K. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa from Positive Blood Cultures



## ProHealth Care

## AdvanDx

## PNA probes target ribosomal RNA inside cells

Peptide Nucleic Acid Fluorescence In $\underline{\text { Situ Hybridization }}$


## AdvanDx

3 Easy Steps: Fix Sample, Hybridize Probes and View Results. 5 Min. Hands-on Time. 20 Min. Turn-around Time.

## Fix



5 Min.
Fix $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Blood Culture Sample to QuickFISH Slide.

Hybridize


15 Min.
Add PNA Reagents. Hybridize for 15 Min . at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


PNA Probe Binding to RNA Target
ProHealth Care

## Does Anybody Hear?



ProHealth Care

## PNA FISH Staphylococcus aureus

Batch testing, once per day, no specific notification of result.
TABLE 1. LOS and duration of vancomycin use for pre- and postPNA FISH groups

| Group ( $n$ ) | Mean hospital LOS <br> (days) $\pm$ SD <br> (median; range) | Mean duration (days) of <br> vancomycin treatment $\pm$ <br> SD (median; range) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-PNA FISH <br> patients (100) | $18.7 \pm 16.5(13.0 ; 2.0-83.3)$ | $4.15 \pm 4.03(2.9 ; 0.3-19.2)$ |
| Post-PNA FISH <br> patients (99) | $20.9 \pm 21.0(13.7 ; 1.8-113.5)$ | $3.51 \pm 3.43(1.8 ; 0.3-10.8)$ |
| $P$ value | 0.35 | 0.49 |

Carol Holtzman, Dana Whitney, Tamar Barlam and Nancy S. Miller
J. Clin. Microbiol. 2011, 49(4):1581. DOI:

## PNA FISH Staphylococcus aureus

Batch testing, once per day, no specific notification of result.
TABLE 1. LOS and duration of vancomycin use for pre- and postPNA FISH groups

| Group ( $n$ ) | Mean hospital LOS <br> (days) $\pm$ SD <br> (median; range) | Mean duration (days) of <br> vancomycin treatment $\pm$ <br> SD (median; range) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-PNA FISH | $18.7 \pm 16.5(13.0 ; 2.0-83.3)$ | $4.15 \pm 4.03(2.9 ; 0.3-19.2)$ |
| patients (100) <br> post-PNA FISH <br> patients (99) <br> $P$ value $20.9 \pm 21.0(13.7 ; 1.8-113.5)$ | $3.51 \pm 3.43(1.8 ; 0.3-10.8)$ |  |
|  | 0.35 | 0.49 |

Our study demonstrated that the $S$. aureus PNA FISH assay for the rapid detection of presumptive CoNS pseudobacteremia , when implemented without active reporting of results or additional support from an AST, did not reduce LOS or vancomycin use. In published studies, utilization of the PNA FISH

Carol Holtzman, Dana Whitney, Tamar Barlam and Nancy S.
Miller
ProHJ. Clin. Microbiol. 2011, 49(4):1581. DOI:

We may need to get someone's attention in addition to the nurse on the floor!



## Who you gonna call?



Someone who will review the case and take action in a timely fashion.

## ProHealth Care

## Who you gonna call?



For ProHealth Care it is the on-call Pharmacist.

## ProHealth Care

## Mountaineering Lore


"Speed is safety!" Climbing Ice
Yvon Chouinard

\# ProHealth Care

# 㱏Nanosphere 

## BC-GP BC-GN




# Nanosphere 

## BC-GP BC-GN

- Verigene Test Cartridge
Substrate Holder Reagent Pack



## ProHealth Care

## Nanosphere
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## $\sqrt{9}$ <br> <br> Nanosphere

 <br> <br> Nanosphere}Bacterial DNA Detection - Secondary Hybridization


## BC-GP BC-GN



## ProHealth Care

## PNanosphere

- Bacterial DNA Detection - Signal Amplification by Silver Enhancement of Gold Nanoparticles



## BC-GP BC-GN



- Analysis of results via lightscattering (array scanning)



## ProHealth Care

## BC-GP

Staphylococcus spp.
Staphylococcus aureus +/- mecA
Staphylococcus epidermidis +/- mecA
Staphylococcus lugdunensis
Streptococcus spp.
Streptococcus pneumoniae
Streptococcus pyogenes
Streptococcus agalactiae
Streptococcus anginosus group
Enterococcus faecalis +/- vanA or vanB
Enterococcus faecium +/- vanA or vanB

Listeria spp.

# Nanosphere 

Acinetobacter spp.
Proteus spp.
Citrobacter spp.
Enterobacter spp.
Escherichia coli
Klebsiella pneumonia
Klebsiella oxytoca
Pseudomonas aeruginosa

```
CTX-M (ESBL)
KPC
NDM - 37/11
VIM - 5/2
IMP - 3/1
OXA - 7/5
```


## ProHealth Care

Currently in Development

## FilmArray' Blood Gulture ID Panel



4-5 minutes hands on

$$
65 \text { minutes }
$$

The FilmArray BCID Panel
Simultaneous detection of 27 targets:


Gram + Bacteria

- Staphylococcus
- Staphylococcus aureus
- Streptococcus pyogenes
- Streptococcus pneumoniae
- Streptococcus
- Streptococcus agalactiae
- Enterococcus
- Listeria monocytogenes


## Gram - Bacteria

- Klebsiella oxytoca - Neisseria meningitidis
- Klebsiella pneumoniae
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa
- Serratia
- Proteus
- Acinetobacter baumannii
- Haemophilus influenzae
- Enterobacteriaceae
- Escherichia coli
- Enterobacter cloacae complex


Fungi

- Candida albicans
- Candida krusei
- Candida glabrata
- Candida parapsilosis
- Candida tropicalis


Antibiotic Resistance

- mecA
- KPC
- vanA / vanB

ProHealth Care


FilmArray RP Pouch


Add Sample to Buffer


Load Pouch


Inject Sample


Inject Hydration Solution


Load Pouch in FilmArray

## Brought To You By?



Fig. 5. PCR amplification and detection containment vessel prototype

## The FilmArray Pouch

## BIO FIRE



ProHealth Care


Figure 1. Elogibility and inclusion of the study participants. The most common reasons for ineligibitity among patients were medical circumstances requiting prolonged hospitalization unrelated to the patient's bloodstream infection (BSI; $24.4 \%$ ), including patients receiving extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for cardiorespiratory falure; advanced heart failure requiring ventricular assist devices (VADs) or an artficial heart; and elective admissions for bone marrow transplantation (BMT). Length of stay (LOS) and hospital cost analyses were conducled in those patients surviving to hospital discharge Abbreviation: 77P, time-to-positivity of index blood culture.
ProHealth Care


Figure 2. Timeline comparison of preintervention and intervention study periods depicting the differences in laboratory procedure and their respective impact on adjusted therapy. Adjusted therapy included, when clinically indicated, de-escalation/escalation of antibiotic therapy, dosing/ route modifications, and/or discontinuation of unnecessary gram-positive coverage. White boxes denote the average times (hours) until the corresponding information was obtained or action implemented in the preintervention (PI) and intervention (Int) groups. The bottom horizontal line represents the global study/patient timeline (hours) and includes point measurements (below) for patients on inactive therapy at 0,24 , and 48 hours in both groups. Abbreviations: EMR, electronic medical record; MALDI-TOF MS, matrix-assisted laser desorption and ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry.

|  | Table 2. | Length of Stay and Cost Outcomes in Survivors ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome | Preintervention Cohort $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 0 0})$ | Intervention Cohort $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 0 1 )}$ |  |
| Hospital length of stay | $11.9 \pm 9.3$ | $9.3 \pm 7.6$ | .01 |
| Hospital length of stay after BSI onset | $9.9 \pm 7.1$ | $8.1 \pm 6.4$ | .01 |
| ICU length of stay | $7.3 \pm 8.5$ | $6.3 \pm 8.7$ | .05 |
| ICU length of stay after BSI onset | $6.1 \pm 6$ | $4.9 \pm 6.7$ | .09 |
| Total hospital costs | $\$ 45709 \pm \$ 61806$ | $\$ 26162 \pm \$ 28996$ | .009 |
| MS DRG weight | $2.7 \pm 2.4$ | $\pm 1.9$ | 54 |

Abbreviations: BSI, bloodstream infection; ICU, intensive care unit; MS DRG, Medicare Diagnosis-Related Group.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values for length of stay outcomes are given as days, mean $\pm$ SD. Costs are reported as cost per hospitalization, mean $\pm$ SD.
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## Xpert™RSA/SA BC




## Cepheid Xpert MRSA/SA Blood Culture

Gently mix the sample by hand, transfer a 50 uL aliquot to the elution reagent vial using the pipette provided



Vortex and transfer the sample into
S chamber
(1-2 minutes hands on)


Insert cartridge and start assay


## ProHealth Care

Table 2. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Patients in the Study Groups

| Characteristic | Pre-rPCR period $(n=74)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Post-rPCR period } \\ & \quad(n=82) \end{aligned}$ | $P^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age, mean years $\pm$ SD | $57 \pm 16.7$ | $56 \pm 16.0$ | . 51 |
| Male sex | 43 (58) | 40 (49) | 26 |
| Penicillin allergy | 9 (12) | 10 (12) | . 60 |
| Surgical service | 24 (32) | 10 (12) | . 003 |
| ICU ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 49 (66) | 55 (67) | >.99 |
| MRSA infection | 44 (59) | 37 (45) | . 08 |
| ID consult | 41 (55) | 49 (60) | . 63 |
| Time to ID consult, mean days $\pm$ SD $(n=90)$ | $9 \pm 16.4$ | $3 \pm 2.4$ | . 05 |
| Hospital mortality | 19 (26) | 15 (18) | . 33 |
| Hospital costs by department |  |  |  |
| Pharmacy, mean USD $\pm$ SD | $10,375 \pm 21,221$ | $7457 \pm 13,250$ | . 08 |
| Microbiology laboratory, mean USD $\pm$ SD | $6806 \pm 10,290$ | $5081 \pm 6677$ | . 13 |
| Room and board |  |  |  |
| $I C U$, mean USD $\pm$ SD | $27,667 \pm 35,777$ | $17,737 \pm 21,464$ | . 03 |
| Non-ICU, mean USD $\pm$ SD | $12,210 \pm 13,741$ | $10,117 \pm 10,932$ | . 32 |
| Other, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ mean USD $\pm$ SD | $25,464 \pm 36,633$ | $16,400 \pm 20,031$ | . 02 |
| Total hospital costs, mean USD $\pm$ SD $(n=154)$ | $69,737 \pm 96,050$ | $48,350 \pm 55,196$ | . 03 |

NOTE.Data are no. (\%) of patients, unless otherwise indicated. Reported hospital costs are representative of total pharmacy, microbiology laboratory, and room and board cost. ICU, intensive care unit; ID, infectious diseases; rPCR, methicillin-resistant $S$. aureus/S. aureus blood culture test; SD, standard deviation; USD, United States dollars.
${ }^{\text {a }} P$ values were determined by Fisher's exact test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test as appropriate.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ICU stay at anytime during hospitalization.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Includes all hospital costs not previously described, including operating room services, imaging services, and medical and surgical supplies.
PROIEIEALIR CARE

## Cepheid Xpert MRSA/SA Blood Culture



Figure 1. Number of infectious diseases pharmacist antibiotic changes from vancomycin to cefazolin or nafcillin for methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) bacteremia and vancomycin to daptomycin for methicillin-resistant $S$. aureus (MRSA) bacteremia. rPCR, rapid polymerase chain reaction MRSA/SA blood culture test.

## ProHealth Care

## Cepheid Xpert MRSA/SA Blood Culture



Figure 2. Mean time to antibiotic switch from vancomycin to cefazolin or nafcillin for methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) bacteremia and vancomycin to daptomycin for methicillin-resistant $S$. aureus (MRSA) bacteremia. rPCR, rapid polymerase chain reaction MRSA/SA blood culture test.

## ProHealth Care

# BD GeneOhm' StaphSR Assay Procedure Positive Blood Culture 



15 minutes hands on

ProHealth Care

Table 2 Potential pharmaceutical cost savings with PNA-FISH

| Case | Final Species ID | Initial Rx | Rx initiation until change (days) | Time to culture ID (days) | Time to PNAFISH ID (days) | Potential time saved w/ PNA-FISH (days) | Potential cost saved w/PNA-FISH ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | C. albicans | Caspofungin | 6 | 3 | 0.3 | 2.7 | \$1,093.50 |
| 2 | C. glabrata | Fluconazole | 2 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 4.8 | Fluconazole changed to Caspofungin |
| 3 | C. parapsilosis | Caspofungin | 5 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 2.9 | \$1,174.50 |
| 1 | CoNS | Vancomycin | 3 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 2.9 | \$58.00 |
| 2 | CoNS | Vancomycin | 4 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.9 | \$38.00 |
| 3 | CoNS | Vancomycin | 5 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 2.9 | \$58.00 |
| 4 | CoNS | Vancomycin | 2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | \$2.00 |

${ }^{\dagger}$ Potential time saved w/PNA-FISH X AWP $=$ Potential cost saved w/PNA-FISH. AWP:
Fluconazole 400 mg po/day= $\$ 27.26$.
Caspofungin 50 mg IV/day $=\$ 405.00$.
Vancomycin $2 \mathrm{~g} /$ day=\$20.00.
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