#### CASE STUDY OF MOLECULAR ASSAY VALIDATION: 2ND TIER QUALITATIVE SCREENING

March 11, 2015

Rachel Lee, PhD



# Which Mutations?

- Targeted Mutation Panel or Gene Sequencing?
- Purpose of 2<sup>nd</sup> tier test
- Mutation Detection Rate
- False Negatives
- False Positives and Carriers
- Population



#### **Texas CF Mutation Data**

	No. of Alleles	% Total Alleles
Total for Texas (1426 pts)	2852	100%
No Mutation for 1 and 2	446	15.6%
1,2 or Both Not Identified	274	9.6%
Identified Alleles	2132	74.8%

Information provided by Dr. John Saito and Dr. Donna Beth Willey-Courand



#### TX CF Mutation Data (Cont) 2132 Identified Alleles

Mutations	No. of alleles	Mutations	No. of alleles	Mutations	No. of alleles
∆ <b>F508</b>	1664	R347P	1	2307insA	2
<b>∆I507</b>	12	711+1G-T	0	3876delA	5
G542X	64	1898+1G-A	7	2183AA-G	5
G551D	59	2184delA	4	1677deITA	2
W1282X	19	1078DelT*	1	D1152H	2
N1303K	35	3849+10kbC-T	12	G330X	1
R553X	20	2789+5G-A	8	L206W	3
621+1G-T	23	3659delC	11	R1158X	2
R117H	25	I148T*	3	Q493X	11
1717-1G-A	15	3120+1G-A	10	3905insT	6
A455E	3	delF311	1	V520F	2
R560T	5	R1066C	2	1717-1G-T	1
R1162X	11	S549N	6	S549R	1
G85E	5	W1089X	5	Y1092X	1
R334W	3	1812-1G>A	1	3120G-A	2
	from the ACMG	recommended panel (W e 6(5) 387-391)	atson et al	Other mutations	51



# ACMG-23 Panel

- Recommended by ACMG for routine diagnostic and carrier testing
- Mutation Detection Rate
  - Non-Hispanic Caucasian 88.3%
  - African American 69%
  - Hispanic American 57%



#### **Expected Proportion of Abnormal Alleles Detected**

Mutation Detection	Proportion of CF patients for which a given number of abnormal alleles is detected			
Rate	2 Abnormal Alleles	1 Abnormal Allele	0 Abnormal Allele	
98%	96%	4%	0%	
95%	90%	10%	0%	
90%	81%	18%	1%	
85%	72%	26%	2%	
80%	64%	32%	4%	
75%	56%	38%	6%	
70%	49%	42%	9%	
60%	36%	48%	16%	
50%	25%	50%	25%	
40%	16%	48%	36%	
30%	9%	42%	49%	

TEXAS Department of State Health Serv

Moskowitz et al, 2008, Genetics in Medicine 10(12): 851-868

#### **Comparison of Mutation Panels**

				Selected	Selected Commercial CF DNA Testing Kits			
	Texas Data	ACMG Panel (23)	CA Panel (38)	Lumine x xTAG (39+4)	Hologic Extended Panel (40+2)	Elucigene CF-US (44+1)	Asuragen Expand (47)	Custom Panel (45)
Total # of Identified Alleles	2132	2016	1974	≥2045	≥2055	≥2038	≥2041	2081
% of Identified Alleles	100%	94.56%	92.59%	≥95.92%	≥96.39%	≥95.59%	≥95.73%	97.6%
% of Total Alleles	75%	70.69%	69.21%	≥71.7%	≥72.05%	≥71.45%	≥71.56%	72.97%



# Which Method?

- Cost
- Existing methods
- Existing equipment
- Expertise
- TAT
- Capacity/Throughput/Automation
- LIMS interface
- Multiplexing or tier approach
- Algorithm (e.g. IRT/IRT/DNA or IRT/DNA)



# Cystic Fibrosis NBS in TX

- Implemented statewide December 1, 2009
- IRT/IRT/DNA methodology
  - 1<sup>st</sup> screen elevated IRT/2<sup>nd</sup> screen elevated IRT/DNA
  - IRT fixed cutoff:
    - 60 ng/mL in blood for infants <21 days at the time of specimen collection</li>
    - 46.5 ng/mL in blood for infants 21 days or older at the time of specimen collection
  - CFTR mutation panel Hologic (40+2)
    - 1 or 2 mutations identified Abnormal CF screen
    - 0 mutation identified Normal



#### 'Failsafe' Protocols in TX

- Ultra-high IRT levels (>150 ng/mL blood) but 0 mutations
- If 1<sup>st</sup> screen is elevated & no or unacceptable second specimen received by 30 days of age, the first screen is reflexed to DNA
- 1<sup>st</sup> normal IRT or no 1<sup>st</sup> screen with 2<sup>nd</sup> screen elevated IRT is reflexed to DNA



#### Materials Used for Validation

- Collecting specimens needed for method development and validation
- Must have representatives for each mutation on panel or cannot report that mutation
- Received specimens from diagnosed cases from Wisconsin, Michigan, North Carolina, and Indiana
- Received QC materials from CDC
- Missing 4 mutations on panel (3849+4A>G, S549R A>C, Y122X, and Y1092X C>G)



# Method Development / Optimization

- Instrument installation
- Testing process workflow evaluation
  - Punch
  - Extraction protocol
  - Testing protocol
  - Data analysis / Result interpretation
- Staff training
- Troubleshooting
- LIMS interface, modification and validation
- PT program enrollment



# Validation Plan–Hologic Inplex 40+4

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Sensitivity
- Specificity
- Reportable range
- Reference range
- Stability study
- Carryover study



### Validation Runs

- A total of 33 specimens that encompassed a high percentage of the targeted genotypes were tested in the DNA Analysis laboratory using the CFTR InPlex assay.
- Day 1 the specimens were extracted and tested in triplicate by one technician (tests #1, #2 and #3).
- Day 2 the specimens were analyzed by another technician at two different times in one day (tests #4 and #5).
- Day 3 the specimens were tested again by a third technician (test #6).





 Determined by comparing the CFTR InPlex results from each specimen with results from the reference laboratories. In order for specimen CFTR InPlex results to be acceptable, they must be in at least 90% agreement with the reference laboratories results.

Mutation	Calls per Mutation	Reference Laboratory Results		CFTR InPlex Calls			Agreement		
		Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Indeterm inate	Positive	Negative	Overall
394delTT	192	3	189	3	189	0	100%	100%	100%
621+1G>T	192	12	180	12	180	0	100%	100%	100%



# Precision

 Determined by assessing the day-to-day, run-to-run, within-run, and operator variation. In order for CFTR InPlex results to be acceptable, repeat testing of the specimens over time should give consistent results (>90% agreement) and they should not be time or technologist dependent.

Specimen #	Mutations and Polymorphisms	Agreements between ca	morphism on the CFTR	
	Identified by	Within Run Agreement	Run-to-run (Within Day)	Day-to-day and Between
	Reference	(42 calls per sample x 3	Agreement	Operator Agreement
	Laboratories		(42 calls per sample x 2	(42 calls per sample x 3
			runs)	days/operators)
NC-1	711+1G>T	100%	100%	100%
IN-6	1078delT	100%	100%	100%
CDC-165	2789+5G>A ΔF508 IVS8-7T/9T	100%	100%	100%
CDC-164	3905insT 1248+1G>A IVS8-7T/7T	100%	98.8%	99.2%



### Analytical Sensitivity

Genomic DNA extracts of 3 newborn screening specimens (one with high signal, one medium, and one low) were subjected to a series of dilutions (1:1, 1:2, 1:4,, 1:8, and 1:16) and analyzed by CFTR InPlex assay.

Dilution	CFTR InPlex Calls				
	High Signal (Specimen#	Medium Signal (Specimen#	Low Signal (Specimen#		
	20092734097)	20092974616)	20092905445)		
1:1	Normal	3120+1G>A	Normal		
1:2	Normal	3120+1G>A	Low Signal		
1:4	Normal	3120+1G>A	Low Signal		
1:8	Normal	Low Signal	Low Signal		
1:16	Normal	Low Signal	Low Signal		



# **Clinical Sensitivity**

 Percent of specimens with the targeted condition whose test values are positive

[TP/ (TP+FN)] x 100%

# Analytical Specificity

 Determined by evaluating the cross-over signals within the multiplex panel and the ability to discriminate similar and adjacent mutations, such as dF508 and dI507 alleles or G551D and R553X alleles.

Specimen #	Mutations and	Mutation Name (Invader Results)		
	Polymorphisms Identified by			
	<b>Reference Laboratories</b>			
CDC-16	1717-1G>A	G551D (HET)	R553X (Normal)	
	G551D			
CDC-8	1717-1G>A	G551D (Normal)	R553X (HET)	
	R553X			
CDC-163	3120+1G>A	S549N (HET)	S549R T>G (Normal)	
	S549N			
	IVS8-7T/7T			
NC-4	S549R T>G	S549N (Normal)	S549R T>G (HET)	
NC-3	R347H	R347H (HET)	R347P (Normal)	
CDC-25	R347P	R347H (Normal)	R347P (HET)	
	R1066H			
	IVS8-7T/7T			



# Analytical Specificity (cont)

- Analytical specificity due to interfering substances was not tested because interfering substances will result in nonamplification of the patient's DNA. There would not be a reportable result, and the specimen would be considered unsatisfactory.
- The presence of such substances was not encountered during the method evaluation period.
- A variety of components in clinical specimens and DNA extraction solutions have been reported to interfere with the enzymatic reactions in amplification processes, including heme and its by-product, heparin, and sodium dodecyl sulfate.



# **Clinical Specificity**

 Percent of specimens without the targeted condition whose test values are negative

[TN/ (TN+FP)] x 100%

#### Reportable Range and Reference Range

- Reportable Range Since the CFTR InPlex assay is a qualitative test, the reportable result for each sample is "Normal", "HET (heterozygous)", "MUT (homozygous)" or "EQ (equivocal)".
- Reference Range (normal value) Since the CFTR InPlex assay is a qualitative test, the normal value of the tested specimens should be "Normal" or "0 Mutation Identified".



# **Stability Study**

Determine if the current TX newborn screening specimen acceptance criterion of 13 days after Date of Collection is applicable and how long the mutations are stable at room temperature storage. Ten newborn specimens that were received within 1 or 2 days after Date of Collection were selected. Punches were made, extracted, and tested on the same day (Day 1), Day 5, Day 8, Day 12, Day 19, Day 26, Day 40, month 3, month 6, .month 9, and month 12.



# **Carryover Study**

 Determine potential cross contamination caused by using the same puncher head to punch samples without cleaning between punches

# **Other Considerations**

- Scale up (workflow, coordination with 1<sup>st</sup> tier)
- Result notes (interpretation, recommendation)
- Method limitation
- Write SOP
- Reporting / follow-up algorithm
- Inform and educate healthcare providers



### Problems encountered

- Low signals
  - Poor extraction
  - Low genomic DNA
  - Homozygous mutation on the same codon
- Equivocal
- Het cannot be confirmed
- Instrument malfunction
- Missed cases
- Lack of control materials for all mutations



# Take Home Messages....

- Each NBS program has different needs define your goals
- Need help? Just ask
- Be familiar with CLIA and CAP requirements and CLSI guidelines on validation
- Document....document...document