

FSIS Regulatory Update 8th Annual OutbreakNet Meeting 16th Annual PulseNet Update Meeting Atlanta, GA, August 30, 2012

Vivian Chen, MSW, ScD Deputy Assistant Administrator Office of Public Health Science, FSIS





- Non O157 STEC Testing
- National Residue Testing
- Major Outbreaks



Risk Management of Non-O157 STEC in FSIS-Regulated Foods



June 4, 2012 STEC Verification Activities

- FSIS will initiate testing of raw beef manufacturing trimmings derived only from cattle slaughtered on or after June 4, 2012
- Eligible samples would be tested for *E. coli* O157:H7 *and* the top 6 sero-groups of non-O157 STEC (O26, O45, O103, O111, O121, and O145)





Serogroup of non-O157 STEC isolates from humans sent to CDC

-	Serogroup	1983-2002	2003-2006	Total	Cumulative n	Cumulative %
1	O26	209	309	518	518	26.3%
2	O111	152	188	340	858	43.6%
3	O103	117	224	341	1199	60.9%
4	O121	80	81	161	1360	69.1%
5	O45	63	99	162	1522	77.3%
6	O145	43	61	104	1626	82.6%
7	O165	14	15	29	1655	84.1%
8	O118	9	20	29	1684	85.6%
9	O91	8	15	23	1707	86.7%
10	O113	8	1	9	1716	87.2%
11	O153	7	4	11	1727	87.8%
12	O146	6	1	7	1734	88.1%
13	O174	6	8	14	1748	88.8%
	other typed	95	125	220	1968	100.0%
	total	817	1151	1968		



Non-O157 STEC causes permanent, lifethreatening damage to major organ systems
Similar range of outcomes relative to *E. coli* O157:H7

- Bloody diarrhea
- Hospitalization
- Hemolytic uremic syndrome
- Death

• *E. coli* O157:H7 infection is often more severe

- US: FoodNet, Hedican, Scallan
- Studies from Hungary, Germany, Austria, Argentina, and Australia
- However, in some studies non-O157 infection is equivalent or more severe
 - Studies from Australia, Germany, Denmark, Switzerland



E. coli O26 Associated with Ground Beef, 2010

- August 5, 2010: FSIS notified by Maine Department of Agriculture of illness cluster
- 3 case-patients reported in 2 states, Maine and New York
 - E. coli O26 with a rare, indistinguishable PFGE pattern
 - No hospitalizations, deaths, or HUS reported
- Ground beef implicated as source



E. coli O26 Associated with Ground Beef, 2010

- August 28, 2010: Establishment A recalled 8,500 lbs of ground beef
- First ground beef recall associated with non-O157 in the United States





Non-O157 STEC by Serogroup and *E. coli* O157:H7, Raw Ground Beef Components as of 8/19/2012

		Import		
Target STEC	Trim Verification Percent Positive (Number)	Follow-up to RGB Positive at Supplier Percent Positive (Number)	Follow-up to RGBC Positive Percent Positive (Number)	Verification/ Intensified Percent Positive (Number)
0157:H7	0.61%	1.03%	2.14%	0.95%
	(7/1147)	(1/97)	(3/140)	(5/525)
Total non-	1.07%	0.00%	24.29%	0.00%
0157 STEC ³	(5/467)	(0/2)	(17/70)	(0/37)
026	0.00%	0.00%	5.71%	0.00%
	(0/467)	(0/2)	(4/70)	(0/37)
045	0.21%	0.00%	4.29%	0.00%
	(1/467)	(0/2)	(3/70)	(0/37)
0103	0.43%	0.00%	12.86%	0.00%
	(2/467)	(0/2)	(9/70)	(0/37)
0111	0.21%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	(1/467)	(0/2)	(0/70)	(0/37)
0121	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	(0/467)	(0/2)	(0/70)	(0/37)
0145	0.21%	0.00%	1.43%	0.00%
	(1/467)	(0/2)	(1/70)	(0/37)



Update: National Residue Program (NRP)



NRP Mission Statement

 To protect consumers from unsafe exposure to chemical residues in meat, poultry, and egg products



http://www.culinate.com/hunk/29180



NRP Goals

Revise residue sampling & scheduling algorithms

Monitor chemical exposure in the industry

Respond to public and stakeholder concern Improve documentation of chemical hazards

Identify trends and high-priority compounds to research

Develop analytical techniques and improve analysis

Establish protocol for recall decisions



Multi-Residue Method (MRM)

- Modern approach to residue testing
- Collaboration with Agricultural Research Service
- FSIS labs received new methods in 2011
- The MRM methods be implemented as part of overall improvements to NRP



MRM: a Significant Improvement



1st FSIS method to analyze multiple chemicals per sample; screen many types of analytes



The NRP initiatives will result in:

Identification of emerging contaminants Prevention of adulterated meat, poultry, and egg products from entering the marketplace Discouragement of improper behavior by producers, processors, and importers

Closure of regulatory gaps between Agencies







Update: Outbreaks



FSIS Investigations: Facts and Figures

- Directive 8080.3 describes FSIS "investigations"
- Investigate 20-30 illness clusters per year
- Average size of investigated outbreaks is about 25 case-patients; can be substantially smaller depending on the pathogen (e.g., Lm)
- About 1/3 of all investigations are linked to a product recall
- FSIS attention on *Salmonella* has increased in recent years, in association with major outbreaks



FY11 Investigations

- 7 illness clusters investigated
 - 4 Salmonella
 - 2 *E. coli* O157:H7
 - 1 Lm
- 4 out of 7 (57%) associated with a product recall
- 2 multi-state outbreaks associated with > 100 case-patients, both involving Salmonella enterica Serotype Heidelberg
 - Ground turkey
 - Chicken livers



- May 20, 2011: PulseNet Database Team identified cluster; FSIS Outbreaks Section (OSEL) shared with Applied Epidemiology Division
- 29 case-patients in 18 states with indistinguishable PFGE pattern
- No clear exposure pattern initially identified
- Three NARMS retail ground turkey samples matched the cluster by PFGE
 - Resistant to ampicillin, streptomycin, tetracycline, and gentamycin



FSIS Investigates Outbreak

- June 24, 2011: FSIS initiated an investigation
- Ground turkey consumption associated with high proportion of case-patients
 - 32% respondents indicated recent ground turkey consumption compared to 11%



Case-patients by state as of Aug 1, 2011 (n =77)



FSIS Response

- July 29, 2011: FSIS issued a public health alert
- The alert noted 77 illnesses in 26 states
- Proper cooking and handling of raw poultry advised

Vews & Events

News Releases

FSIS Issues Public Health Alert For Frozen, Fresh Ground Turkey Products

WASHINGTON, July 29, 2011 - The U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is issuing a public health alert due to concerns about illnesses caused by Salmonella Heidelberg that may be associated with use and consumption of ground turkey.

This public health alert was initiated after continuous medical reports, ongoing investigations and testing conducted by various departments of health across the nation determined there is an association between consumption of ground turkey products and an estimated 77 illnesses reported in 26 states. The illnesses were linked through an epidemiologic investigation and PFGE analyses by state health departments and The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

CDC is partnering with state health departments to monitor the outbreak while VS focuses its

Recommendations for Preventing Salmonellosis:



Ground Turkey Recall

- August 3, 2011: Establishment B recalled 36 million lbs of ground turkey products
- 182 case-patients from 38 states linked to outbreak*
- Largest recall of ground turkey to date
 - Approximately 2 million lbs recovered from commerce

vews & Events News Releases Arkansas Firm Recalls Ground Turkey Products Due to Possible Salmonella Contamination Recall Release CLASS I RECALL FSIS-RC-060-2011 HEALTH RISK: HIGH Congressional and Public Affairs (202) 720-9113 Catherine Cochran WASHINGTON, August 3, 2011 - Cargill Meat Solutions Corporation, a Springdale, Ark. establishment, is recalling approximately 36 million pounds of ground turkey products that may be contaminated with a multi-drug resistant strain of Salmonella Heidelberg, the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) announced today. The products subject to recall today bear the establishment number "P-963" inside the USDA mark of inspection, and include the following: Ground Turkey Chubs -Use or Freeze by Dates **Recommendations for** of 2/20/11 through Preventing Salmonellosis 8/23/11 10 lb. chubs of Honeysuckle White Wash hands with warm, Frank Matural Lang

soapy water for at least 20

* As of June 2012



Salmonella enterica Serotype Heidelberg: Chicken Livers

- September 2, 2011: CDC notified FSIS of illness cluster
 - 221 case-patients in 34 states
 - Case definition was refined; initial count reduced to 170
 - Common PFGE pattern; increase in northeastern states directed focus to those states
- Identified exposures: chicken and eggs
- Kosher community appeared disproportionately affected



FSIS Investigation

- September 7, 2011: began an FSIS investigation
 - FDA engaged due to possible involvement of FDAregulated products
 - Kosher milk soon identified as additional possible exposure of concern
- November 2, 2011: New York State Agriculture and Marketing Service reported leftover chicken livers positive for outbreak strain
- FSIS found labeling issue: Product appeared to be ready-to-eat (described as 'broiled") but was in fact only partially cooked



Chicken Liver Recall

• November 8, 2011: Establishment C recalled broiled chicken liver products



ews Releases

New York Firm Recalls Broiled Chicken Liver Products Linked to Salmonellosis Illnesses

Recall Release FSIS-RC-090-2011

CLASS I RECALL HEALTH RISK: HIGH

Congressional and Public Affairs (202) 720 - 9113Bill Bagley

Editor's Note: (Nov 9, 2011) In addition to the states listed below, products subject to this recall were distributed in retail stores and to institutional users in Florida, Ohio and Rhode Island.

ASHINGTON, November 8, 2011 - Schreiber Processing rporation, a Maspeth, N.Y. establishment, is recalling an etermined amount of broiled chicken liver products that nked to a cluster of Salmonellosis illnesses in New Jersey ew York, the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Inspection Service (FSIS) announced today. FSIS uing to work with states, the Centers for Disease nd Prevention (CDC) and the Food and Drug tion (FDA) during this ongoing investigation.

are linked to the consumption of broiled chicken ppear to be ready-to-eat, but are in fact ed and need to be fully cooked before Illnesses are also linked to chopped liver made duct at retail stores. The outbreak strain of cila Heidelberg was isolated by the New York State gartment of Agriculture and Markets from samples of chicken livers from the actablichment and shore



Outbreak Investigations: FY 11 Lessons Learned

- Strong relationships with federal, state, and local public health partners continue to be vital
- Epidemiological evidence used in combination with laboratory findings is key to well-informed assessments
- Early detection and actionable traceback information help ensure effective response



Thank you!



Back-Up Slides

Background on STEC/nSTEC similarities nSTEC lab methods Early Detection Consumer Complaints



STEC and **ECOH** behave similarly!

- No discernible differences in translocation between STEC and non-O157 STEC following blade or chemical tenderization of beef subprimals
 - Majority of cells to top-most 1 cm (25% to 82%)
 - STEC and non-O157 STEC were recovered from all six segments
- No discernible differences in thermal resistance between STEC and non-O157 STEC following cooking of blade tenderized or chemically-injected steaks
 - Higher temperatures generated greater lethality (1.5 to 4.5 log reduction)
 - No difference in lethality based on steak thickness (1.0 or 1.5 in.)
- No discernible differences in viability (survival of STEC and non-0157 STEC, but not growth) in blade tenderized beef or chemically injected steaks during storage at 4°C for 15 days
- . Greater risk for consumption of blade and chemical tenderized steaks compared to otherwise similar, but intact, steaks
 - 2-fold blade tenderized steaks
 - 4-fold chemically-injected steaks



MLG 5B.02 nSTEC Method Overview



Day 1Sample prep and primary enrichment



Day 2 •PCR screen for *stx* and *eae* genes •PCR screen for O group specific genes •Immunomagnetic separation, Modified Rainbow Agar (mRBA) Plating



Day 3 •Latex agglutination of colonies on mRBA •Streak latex (+) colonies onto Sheep Blood Agar (SBA) plates

Individual colonies on SBA
 Latex positive

- •PCR positive (stx, eae, O group)
- •Biochemically identified as E. coli

Potential Positive

Presumptive Positive

Confirmed Positive



Early Detection: Consumer Complaints

- In summer 2012, FSIS plans to deploy an electronic Consumer Complaint Form (eCCF) on its Web site
- Intended to provide a mechanism for consumers to report problems with FSIS-regulated food
- Goal is to improve FSIS's ability to detect and respond to foodborne hazards
- May potentially augment pre-hospital event surveillance



Thank You