

Interactive Training Webinar for Newborn Screening Specimen Collection

Patrice Held, PhD

Co-Director, Wisconsin Newborn Screening and Biochemical Genetics Laboratory Assistant Professor, Dept of Pediatrics, University of Wisconsin-Madison

WISCONSIN STATE LABORATORY OF HYGIENE - UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN



Reducing unsatisfactory specimen submission

August 2014

- Survey was sent to all NBS submitters to highlight concerns with specimen collection process

September 2014

 Workgroup of DHS, NBS laboratory, Hospital representatives (5) met to review survey responses and identify/prioritize issues related to specimen collection

October 2014

 The same workgroup of DHS, NBS laboratory, and Hospital representatives (5) met to brainstorm/identify/prioritize way to improve specimen collection

*Frequent issues identified by submitters

- Lack of a consistent site-specific process
- Lack of instructional materials
- Lack of training
- No visual inspection before shipping specimens
- Using capillary tubes
- Blood Clotting within circles on the specimen card





Unsatisfactory Specimen Collection



2014 = 2.0%





Action Items

We were awarded federal funding through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to design a web-based training module for specimen collection

This project was 100% funded with federal funds from a federal program of \$16,500. This project was supported by Cooperative Agreement #U60HM000803 funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of CDC or the Department of Health and Human Services. National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (IP) Office of Surveillance, Epidemiology and Laboratory Services (OSELS) National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STDs and TB Prevention (PS) National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-borne, and Enteric Diseases (CK) National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) Coordinating Office for Terrorism Preparedness and Emergency Response (CTPER)

Wisconsin Newborn Screening Program

www.slh.wisc.edu/moodle

Released in April 2015



Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON



WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT of HEALTH SERVICES

Basics on newborn screening specimen collection and submission

Helping babies get started on the right foot

Learning Objectives

Illustrate proper blood specimen collection

Outline the precise steps to take after blood collection

In the event of an unsatisfactory specimen, explain the necessary steps for specimen recollection

Describe quality assurance activities a submitter must utilize to ensure the collection process is performed correctly and consistently on all babies

Webinar Format

The training covered in this webinar is specific to the process for blood collection and submission



This webinar is approximately 60 minutes long and includes: Pre- and Post-tests Family Story Training module Webinar Evaluation Available for PACE credit

Newborn Screening Specimen Collection Workflow



4. Timing between specimen collection and receipt at the laboratory

Unsatisfactory Specimen Identification Guide Newborn Screening



www.slh.wisc.edu • NBSqualityreport@slh.wisc.edu

of HEALTH SERVICES

Laboratory of Hygiene



Demographic Information

Which of the following best describes your job/title?



Demographic Information

What is your role in the NBS specimen collection process?



N=676, 9 months after release



Overall Quiz Results

P value < 0.0001



N=676, 9 months after release

The whiskers represent the min and max value scored by any one learner. The box represents the 25th and 75th percentile.

Unsatisfactory Specimen Collection







PACE Credit continuing education

During the 9 month period from release of the webinar, 409 out of 676 total learners (60.5%) obtained PACE credit after viewing the webinar.



Evaluation *relevance of material*



396 total respondents to survey



Evaluation

Delivery of Content



396 total respondents to survey

Evaluation



Do you intend to use this webinar for training?



"This link has been forwarded to all laboratory staff involved with the collection process and will be the subject of discussion at the next laboratory staff meeting."

- 58% are already using webinar for staff training
- 8% indicated that they will use it for yearly competency assessments

"This is the second facility I have worked in within the state of Wisconsin in 22 years. This is the first time I have actually been trained. Most information I learned by fellow workers training me but some information I was taught was incorrect. Thank you."



Evaluation

Do you have any suggestions for future versions of this webinar?





Next Steps

- Consider creation of additional training webinars
 - ✓ Webinar on collection requirements for premature, low birth weight, sick newborns
 - Release on March 1, 2016
 - □ Webinar on hearing screening
 - □ Webinar on heart screening
- Develop learning management system to house training webinars
 - Release on March 1st, 2016



www.slh.wisc.edu/moodle



Things I have come to learn...

There's no such thing as perfect or complete...only continuous improvement.





Acknowledgements

Lisa Burley, Burley Consulting
 Brain Ploeckelman, DoIT, UW-Madison
 Jan Klawitter, WSLH
 Dr. Mei Baker, WSLH
 Dr. Charles Brokopp, WSLH





Helping babies get started on the right foot

Timeline of Wisconsin's Quality Improvement Projects



Wisconsin Quality Assurance: Monthly Submitter Report

- Specimens missing key information
- Unsatisfactory
 Specimens
- Timing of Specimen
 Collection
- Timing between specimen collection and receipt at the laboratory

Wisconsin State	Ne	wborn Scree	ning Si	ubmitter (Quality	Assura	ince Repor
Laboratory of Hyglene						Ja	nuary 201
•				Submit	ter Cateo	ory: HIG	H VOLUME
			1	HIGH VOLUM	/Æ ≥1	50 specim	ens per month
			i i	MEDIUM VO	LUME 15	150 speci	mens per mont
			1	LOW VOLUN	1E <1	5 specime	ns per month
SPECIMEN VOLUME	Submit	ter Submitter	Submitte	er Submitte	er Sul	omitter	State
	0	CT NOV	DE	C JA	N Perio	od Total	Period Tota
Initial Screens	2	17 180	22	9 22	5	851	20,538
Repeat Screens		52 35	3	18 3	9	164	2,613
Repeat Status Not Provided		3 4		4	3	14	809
Total	2	72 219	27	1 26	7	1,029	23,960
MISSING KEY INFORMATION							
JAN 18 of 267 specimens were missing an	v of the	Period At A G	lance	% Specim	ens Miss	sina Kev	Information
following pieces of key information:			ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	Period Tota
BIRTH DATE and TIME		Submitter (N)	14	7	5	18	44
BIRTH WEIGHT		Submitter (%)	5.1%	3.2%	1.8%	6.7%	4.3%
COLLECTION DATE and TIME		State (%)	4.6%	4.2%	4.4%	5.3%	4.6%
GESTATIONAL AGE							
UNSATISFACTORY SPECIMENS							
JAN 5 of 267 specimens were unsatisfa	ctory	Barlad ALA C	lanas	% Caselin	and of I	nentinfor	
Reason(s) For Unsatisfactory Design	ations:	Feriod At A G	lance	% specim	ens or u	nsatista	ctory Quality
A specimen may have more than one re	ason	Cub million (NI)	001	NOV	DEC	JAN	Period Tota
for being deemed unsatisfactory for test	ting.	Submitter (N)	1 196	0.0%	0.0%	1 0%	0.8%
Filter Paper Damaged (Capill	ary) 28.6%	State (%)	1.00/	4.00/	4.50/	2.0%	4.70
			1 0 20	1.020	1 5 20	2 11 20	1.7%
Plasma Separated From RBC	s 14.3%	State (76)	1.070	1.6%	1,5%	2.0%	1.7%
Plasma Separated From RBC Total:	3 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N)	* 2	1.6%	1.5%	2.0%	1.7%
Plasma Separated From RBC Total:	3 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N)	* 2 Insatisfact	0 tory for reaso	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid	2.0% 0 de of subm	1.7%
Plasma Separated From RBC Total:	3 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) t are excluded f	* 2 unsatisfact	0 tory for reaso titter and state	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %.	0 de of subm	1.7%
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) u are excluded f	* 2 unsatisfact	0 tory for reaso	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %.	0 de of subm	1.7%
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC JAN Initial Specimens = 226	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) u are excluded f	* 2 Insatisfact	0 tory for reaso itter and state	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %.	0 de of subm	1.7%
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC	CS 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) u are excluded f Period At A (* 2 unsatisfact from subm	0 tory for reaso litter and state % Initial S Reco	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen	0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48	ted Within Hours:
	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) u are excluded f	× 2 unsatisfact from subm	0 tory for reaso itter and state % Initial S Reco	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend	0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48	ted Within Hours:
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC JAN Initial Specimens = 226	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) u are excluded f Period At A C Submitter (N)	* 2 unsatisfact from subm	0 tory for reaso iitter and state % Initial St Reco NOV 145	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen pmmend DEC 181	0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 685
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC JAN Initial Specimens = 228	s 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) are excluded f Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%)	× 2 unsatisfact rom subm Slance OCT 178 82.0%	% Initial S Reco NOV 145 80.6%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0%	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9%	ted Within Hours: Period Total 80.9%
	s 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) t are excluded Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%)	* 2 unsatisfact rom subm Glance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	% Initial S Reco NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid s %. pecimen pecimen DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Total 80.9% 92.9%
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC	s 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) i are excluded f Period At A (Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%)	* 2 unsatisfact rom subm Glance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	% Initial S Reco NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen pecimen DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.076 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Total 80.9% 92.9%
	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimon(s) u are excluded Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%)	* 2 unsatisfact rom subm Glance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	% Initial State % Initial State Reco NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 685 80.9% 92.9%
Plasma Separated From RBC Tota: Tota: Tota	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) t are excluded f Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%)	× 2 Insatisfact rom subm Glance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	% Initial S Reco NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 605 80.9% 92.9%
	28 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) u are excluded f Period At A (Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%)	* 2 Insatisfact rom subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	0 tory for reaso iitler and stat % Initial Si Recc NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen pommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.098 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 80.9% 92.9%
Plasma Separated From RBC Tota: Tota: Tota	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) t are excluded f Period At A (Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) ND RECEIPT	x 2 unsatisfact rom subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	0 tory for reaso iitter and statu Recc NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 668 80.9% 92.9%
AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLECT AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLECT JAN Initial Specimens = 226 Age (hours) at Collection DAYS BETWEEN SPECIMEN COLLE JAN Total Specimens = 268	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimon(s) u are excluded f Period At A G Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) ND RECEIPT Period At A G	* 2 Insatisfaction subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL slance	0 tory for reaso itter and state Reco NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6%	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	itter's control ted Within Hours: Period Total 689 80.9% 92.9%
Plasma Separated From RBC Tota: Tota: Tota	Submitter	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) (are excluded 1 Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) ND RECEIPT Period At A G	* 2 unsatisfact from subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1%	1.0% 0 tory for reaso titter and stati % Initial Sj Recc NOV 145 80.6% 92.8%	1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% mens Re ys After	2.076 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2%	ted Within Hours: Period Total 685 80.9% 92.9%
Plasma Separated From RBC Total: Total: Total:	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) Additional (N) Section (N) Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) ND RECEIPT Period At A G	* 2 insatisfactor from subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL ilance OCT	.13% 0 tory for reaso iitter and statu % Initial Sj Recc NOV 145 80.6% 92.8% .H % Specin 3 Dag NOV	1.5% 1 n(s) outside %. DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% Mens Re ys After DEC	2.0% 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2% ceived W Collectic JAN	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 685 80.9% 92.9% /ithin m: Period Tota
Plasma Separated From RBC Total: Total: T	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) t are excluded f Period At A G Submitter (%) State (%) ND RECEIPT Period At A G Submitter (N)	* 2 insatisfact form subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL ilance OCT 272		1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 9% pecimen pommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% mens Re ys After DEC 266	2.098 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 1855 81.9% 94.2% ceived W Collectic JAN 265	ted Within Hours: Period Tota 605 80.9% 92.9% /ithin n: Period Tota 1,022
Plasma Separated From RBC Tota: Tota: Tota	Submitter	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) (are excluded f Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) ND RECEIPT Period At A G Submitter (N) Submitter (%)	* 2 insatisfact from subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL ilance OCT 272 100.0%		1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 3 %. pecimen ommend DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% mens Re ys After DEC 266 98.2%	2.098 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2% ceived W Collectic JAN 265 98.9%	/ittor's control ted Within Hours: Period Tota 685 80.9% 92.9% /ithin pr: Period Tota 1,022 99.2%
Plasma Separated From RBC Tota: To	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) (are excluded f Period At A G Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) Period At A G Submitter (N) State (%)	* 2 insatisfact rom subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL lance OCT 272 100.0% 98.2%		1.5% 1 n(s) outsid 3%. DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% 92.6% Meens Re ys After DEC 266 98.2% 97.1%	2.098 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2% 04.2% 94.2% Collectic JAN 265 98.9% 96.9%	itter's control ted Within Hours: Period Tota 80.9% 92.9% //thin nr: Period Tota 1,022 99.2% 97.5%
Plasma Separated From RBC Total: Total: AGE AT INITIAL SPECIMEN COLLEC JAN Initial Specimens = 228 90 92 92 9 92 9 92 9 92 9 92 9 92 9 9 92 9	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimen(s) t are excluded f Period At A G Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) Period At A G Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%)	* 2 Insatisfact rom subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL Iance OCT 272 100.0% 98.2%		1.5% 1 n(s) outsia %. pecimen DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% mens Re ys After DEC 266 98.2% 97.1%	2.098 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2% 94.2% ceived W Collectic Collectic Collectic JAN 265 98.9% 96.9%	Aitter's control ted Within Hours: Period Total 608 80.9% 92.9% 92.9% 92.9% 92.9% 92.9% 97.5%
Plasma Separated From RBC Total: Total: T	S 14.3% 100.0%	Additional (N) * Specimon(s) (are excluded f Period At A C Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) Period At A G Submitter (N) Submitter (%) State (%) WSLH has a t	* 2 insatisfact irom subm Slance OCT 178 82.0% 92.1% AT WSL lance OCT 272 100.0% 98.2%		1.5% 1 n(s) outsia 3%. pecimen DEC 181 79.0% 92.6% 92.6% 92.6%	2.098 0 de of subm s Collect ed 24-48 JAN 185 81.9% 94.2% ceived W Collectic JAN 2655 98.9% 96.9% ecimens w	itter's control ted Within Hours: Period Total 80.9% 92.9% /ithin m: Period Total 1,022 99.2% 97.5%

f you have any questions regarding this Quality Assurance Report, please contact the WSLH Newborn Screening Laboratory at NBSqualityreport@slh.wisc.edu

SMT: 800128





^{2014 (24-48}h) = 89.0%

Newborn Screening Program in Wisconsin



Screening for Hearing loss

Screening for 44 different conditions through a dried blood spot Color and the second

Screening for Critical Congenital Heart Disease

Importance of Timing: The Thompson Family



Testing Baseline Knowledge



Order the following list of events within the specimen collection process.

- a. Elevated the baby's heart above the legs and warm the foot with a heating device
- **b.** Clean the selected puncture site with an alcohol wipe
- c. Puncture the skin
- d. Wipe away the first drop of blood
- e. Gently allow droplet of blood to saturate the filter paper specimen



Indicate the ideal location for puncture site on the baby's foot:





How large of an incision should the lancet make in on the heel of a full term infant?

- a. 1.0 mm deep by 2.5 mm long
- b. 0.85 mm deep by 1.75 mm long
- c. 1.5 mm deep by 2.0 mm long
- d. 2.5 mm deep by 2.5 mm long
- e. None of the above



Which of the following pieces of information is NOT critical for reporting of the newborn screening results:

- a. Birth weight
- **b.** Birth date and time
- c. Collection date and time
- d. NICU status
- e. Mother's name
- **f**. Physicians name and contact information
- g. Gestational age



Which of the pictures below represent the most common reason for unsatisfactory specimens?





*birth weight, birth date and time, collection date and time, gestational age



Missing Key Information*



*birth weight, birth date and time, collection date and time, gestational age





Transit Time: Collection to Receipt *Within 3 days*

